

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,286	04/12/2004	David Michael Prokop		2976	
7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER			
David Michael Prokop			WILLIAMS, MARK A		
22026 NE 18th Sammamish, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3676	_	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T - 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1				
<b>.</b>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
$\mathcal{N}$	Office Action Summany	10/822,286	PROKOP			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this constraint of	Mark A. Williams	3676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Briddell, Des. 229,739. As can be seen from the figure, each of the claimed elements is shown. An ergonomic handle structure for a tool is disclosed, the tool being a knife, the handle including an elongated member, a thumb support member projecting laterally to accommodate a user's thumb as claimed (see figure 4). The concave recess surface of the support are shown, as claimed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 14, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briddell. As far as a first thin portion, a second thin portion, and a broad portion, as claimed, it has been well established in the art of handles to include such shape modifications in the handle of a tool or implement, as evidenced by Lamb, US Patent Des. 186,021. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the device of Briddell of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47. Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/5/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Biddell does not provide the thumb support projecting laterally in an asymmetrical location, as claimed, that is critical to the applicant's design. It is the position of the examiner that the design of Biddell clearly shows the claimed subject matter, as shown particularly in figures 2 and 4. Applicant has not sufficiently claimed his invention to overcome the art of record.

Art Unit: 3676

Applicant argues that studies have shown that such a design achieves particular desired result in gripping. It is the position of the examiner that the claim language does not include any limitations distinguishing it from the applied art of record.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/822,286 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

(703) 305-3438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams

1/19/05

Suzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner